



Foot type symmetry and change of foot structures from sitting to standing conditions

Citation

Hillstrom, Howard, Jinsup Song, Michael Neary, William Brechue, Rebecca A Zifchock, Steven Svoboda, and Marian T Hannan. 2014. "Foot type symmetry and change of foot structures from sitting to standing conditions." *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research* 7 (Suppl 1): A34. doi:10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A34>.

Published Version

doi:10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A34

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:12717397>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)



MEETING ABSTRACT

Open Access

Foot type symmetry and change of foot structures from sitting to standing conditions

Howard Hillstrom^{1*}, Jinsup Song², Michael Neary³, William Brechue³, Rebecca A Zifchock³, Steven Svoboda³, Marian T Hannan⁴

From 4th Congress of the International Foot and Ankle Biomechanics (i-FAB) Community
Busan, Korea. 8-11 April 2014

Introduction

Foot symmetry and change in foot structure as a function of weight bearing status have not been investigated in a large cohort study. The foot structure of 1,054 incoming cadets at the US Military Academy (172 female, 18.5±1.1 years, 24.5±3.0 kg/m²) was examined. Arch Height Index (AHI) was assessed in sitting and standing condition, and its value was used to classify each foot into 3 foot types as previously described [1].

Method

Based on standing AHI, 68.1%, 24.5%, and 7.5% of the study subjects' left foot was categorized into planus, neutral, and cavus foot types, respectively. An asymmetrical foot type was observed in 28.6% of subjects in sitting and 23.6% standing conditions. Foot length increased from sitting to standing conditions; this change was significantly greater in cavus and neutral foot type groups than the planus group. In contrast, arch height flexibility (AHF) was significantly greater in the planus group than both cavus and neutral foot type groups.

Results

Results of this study suggest the importance of controlling for weight bearing status when assessing foot structure or fitting footwear. Given that about a quarter of participants demonstrated an asymmetrical foot type, findings also suggest the importance of assessing both feet independently. Table 1.

Acknowledgements

Volunteers from the New York College of Podiatric Medicine, Temple University School of Podiatric Medicine, the Hospital for Special Surgery, and novel GmbH were instrumental in the collection of these data. We appreciate the study participants and support of the United States Military Academy.

Authors' details

¹Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York, USA. ²Temple University School of Podiatric Medicine, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. ³United States Military Academy, West Point, New York, USA. ⁴Hebrew Senior Life, Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA.

Published: 8 April 2014

Reference

1. Hillstrom HJ, Song J, Kraszewski AP, Hafer JF, Moontanah R, Dufour AB, Chow BS, Deland JT: **Foot type biomechanics part 1: structure and function of the asymptomatic foot.** *Gait Posture* 2013, **37**:445-51.

doi:10.1186/1757-1146-7-S1-A34

Cite this article as: Hillstrom et al.: Foot type symmetry and change of foot structures from sitting to standing conditions. *Journal of Foot and Ankle Research* 2014 **7**(Suppl 1):A34.

Table 1 Mean arch height flexibility and change in foot length across the 3 foot type groups

	Cavus	Neutral	Planus	P-value
N (female)	53 (5)	184 (34)	711 (133)	
AHF (mm/kN)	13.2 ± 7.4	14.8 ± 7.4	16.6 ± 7.4	0.0001 ^{a,c}
ΔFoot Length (mm)	4.8 ± 2.6	4.3 ± 2.2	3.6 ± 2.1	<.0001 ^{a,c}

Arch height flexibility = [(arch height in sitting – arch height in standing)/(0.4 * body weight)]. A significant difference (P<0.05) was observed between ^acavus and planus foot types and ^c between neutral and planus foot types.

* Correspondence: hillstromh@hss.edu

¹Hospital for Special Surgery, New York, New York, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

